

Labor and Employment 2018 Symposium

Drab to Fab: Employee Evaluations Get a Makeover

Dorsey Speakers

Jillian Kornblatt Dorsey & Whitney LLP Partner

Minneapolis, Minnesota

(612) 492-6156

kornblatt.jillian@dorsey.com

Claire Smith Dorsey & Whitney LLP Associate Fargo, North Dakota

smith.claire@dorsey.com

(701) 271-8894

Contents

1. PowerPoint Presentation prepared by Dorsey & Whitney LLP

ahead of the curve

Workplace law trends for today and beyond. Come up to speed to stay ahead.

Drab to Fab: Employee Evaluations Get a Makeover

Julie Loosbrock
Deluxe Corporation
Jillian Kornblatt and Claire Smith
Dorsey & Whitney LLP



Employee Evaluation Basics



- Decreases employee uncertainty about job requirements and management expectations
- Opens the door for employee training and development opportunities
- Opportunity to have a one-on-one conversation with management regarding any concerns
- Opportunity to recognize and correct any performance deficiencies



Employee Evaluation Basics

- How does an employee evaluation benefit the employer?
 - Forces supervisors to take the time to think critically about the performance of their employees
 - Acts as documentation for any employment decisions both positive (merit increase, promotion) and negative (PIP, termination)
 - Can encourage good performers and motivate poor performers
 - Provides information to future supervisors
 - Allows supervisors an opportunity to get feedback from their employees



Evaluation Goals

- An evaluation good or bad should NEVER come as a surprise to the employee
- The evaluation should be a summary of the ongoing feedback the employee has received throughout the year
- Should not be completely retrospective time should be spent talking about future goals for the employee and the organization



Performance Evaluation Process

- Employee evaluation should be the LAST step in the performance management and appraisal process
- Supervisors should be gathering information on employees throughout the year
 - Keep separate supervisor files on each employee
 - Include direct and indirect observations
 - Keep email communications that reflect achievements or performance issues
 - Keep work results on employee projects throughout the year
 - Track employee progress on previously stated goals and/or performance improvement areas



Communication is KEY

- If done right, evaluations can:
 - o Improve employee morale
 - o Build employee confidence
 - o Make employees feel valued and supported
 - Make employees feel invested in the success of the organization



Thinking Outside the "Meets Expectations" Box

• Alternatives to the traditional ratings system....



Evaluation Communication Styles

Focus on Positive Feedback Characteristics

- > Specific rather than Vague
- ➤ Honest rather than "Nice"
- > Supportive rather than Accusatory
- > Refrain from any comparisons to other employees



Communicating Praise

- Behavior: What aspects of the employee's behavior is valuable?
- Effect: What positive effect does the behavior performance have for the organization, coworkers, clients?
- Thank the employee for their contribution let them know they are valued for their work



2-Way Conversation

- Employee evaluations are also an important time for managers and supervisors to get feedback from their employees
 - What's one thing I can do better for you?
 - How do you like being recognized when you do good work?
 - Do you feel you have been provided adequate training and support to successfully complete all of your assigned tasks?
 - Are there any inconsistencies you see between your job description and the work you actually perform (Annual reviews are a fantastic time to review job descriptions for accuracy!)



ı

Corrective Feedback for Problem **Behavior**

- Behavior: State the specific behavior that is unacceptable
- Effect: Explain why the behavior is not appropriate or unacceptable
- Expectation: How and when the behavior should change
- Result: What will happen if the behavior either continues or improves



So....what can go wrong??



- Individual biases and lack of objectivity can make their way into the evaluations
- · Halo/horns effect
- Concentrating on recent behavior rather than behavior throughout the evaluation period
- Failure to properly calibrate process to ensure fairness and legal compliance
- Failure to keep proper documentation to back up evaluation

() DORSEY

Evaluations in Court...

Employee evaluations have primarily been used in three ways in employment litigation:

- 1. To show that an employee is qualified for a position;
- 2. To demonstrate that an employee was properly terminated for performance issues; and
- 3. To rebut an employer's claims of a legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason for termination



12

Evaluations in Court

- In Connell v. Bank of Boston, 924 F.2d 1169 (1st Cir. 1991), a 47 year old bank officer alleged that he was a victim of age discrimination when he was terminated from his position
- In order to meet his burden of establishing a viable claim for age discrimination, the employee had to show: (1) that he was within the protected age group; (2) that he was performing his job at a level that met his employer's legitimate expectations, and (3) he was replaced by a younger employee with similar qualifications



Evaluations in Court

- In order to determine if the employee's performance met the bank's expectations the court looked to the employee's . . . wait for it . . . performance evaluations!
- Because the employee had always at least received a "3" performance rating, the court concluded that he must have been performing at a level that met the bank's legitimate expectations
- The employer was ultimately successful, but had the employee's evaluations accurately reflected his performance, the employee never would have been able to establish a prima facie case of age discrimination

Evaluations in Court

- In Pippin v. Burlington Res. Oil & Gas Co., 440 F.3d 1180 (10th Cir. 2006), a 51 year old employee claimed he was unlawfully let go in a RIF based on his age
- The employer argued that the employee was chosen to be part of the RIF based on his lengthy history of performance issues regarding communication and teamwork
- The employee's performance evaluations backed up the employer's claims (YAY!) – he had consistently been ranked in the bottom half of employees based on these short-comings
- Employer was granted summary judgment

DORSEY always ahead

Evaluations in Court

- In Back v. Hastings, 365 F.3d 107 (2nd Cir. 2004), a school psychologist sued her former employer alleging she was unlawfully terminated based on gender
- The employee stated she was terminated because her supervisors did not believe that a mother could competently perform her job while raising her children
- The school argued that she was terminated for performance issues and parent complaints



Evaluations in Court

- The court looked to the performance evaluations of the employee and noted that she had consistently received "superior" ratings until after she returned from maternity leave
- After the first discriminatory statements were alleged to have been made by the supervisors, the employee's performance evaluation ratings changed drastically to "below average"
- The court concluded the employee had demonstrated that the employer's alleged reason for the termination may have been pretext to cover gender discrimination



Evaluations in Court - Takeaways

- Courts have primarily focused on the employee rating system in the evaluations
- As discussed earlier, make sure these ratings are accurate... Do not say someone is meeting expectations if they are not!
- Make sure evaluation forms give the supervisors flexibility in their responses – they should be able to break up behaviors and expectations in order to specify which expectations are being met and which are not



10

Practical Tips for Supervisors

- 1. Gather information on employees throughout the vear
- 2. Include both "small picture" and "big picture" critiques
- 3. Offer constructive feedback
- 4. Actively listen to any employee feedback
- 5. Remember that every employee is unique, and there is no one size fits all review....KNOW all of your employees BEFORE you begin their evaluation process



